

SOME GEOMETRIC CALCULATIONS ON WASSERSTEIN SPACE

JOHN LOTT

ABSTRACT. We compute the Riemannian connection and curvature for the Wasserstein space of a smooth compact Riemannian manifold.

1. INTRODUCTION

If M is a smooth compact Riemannian manifold then the Wasserstein space $P_2(M)$ is the space of Borel probability measures on M , equipped with the Wasserstein metric W_2 . We refer to [21] for background information on Wasserstein spaces. The Wasserstein space originated in the study of optimal transport. It has had applications to PDE theory [16], metric geometry [8, 19, 20] and functional inequalities [9, 17].

Otto showed that the heat flow on measures can be considered as a gradient flow on Wasserstein space [16]. In order to do this, he introduced a certain formal Riemannian metric on the Wasserstein space. This Riemannian metric has some remarkable properties. Using O'Neill's theorem, Otto gave a formal argument that $P_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has nonnegative sectional curvature. This was made rigorous in [8, Theorem A.8] and [19, Proposition 2.10] in the following sense : M has nonnegative sectional curvature if and only if the length space $P_2(M)$ has nonnegative Alexandrov curvature.

In this paper we study the Riemannian geometry of the Wasserstein space. In order to write meaningful expressions, we restrict ourselves to the subspace $P^\infty(M)$ of absolutely continuous measures with a smooth positive density function. The space $P^\infty(M)$ is a smooth infinite-dimensional manifold in the sense, for example, of [7]. The formal calculations that we perform can be considered as rigorous calculations on this smooth manifold, although we do not emphasize this point.

In Section 3 we show that if c is a smooth immersed curve in $P^\infty(M)$ then its length in $P_2(M)$, in the sense of metric geometry, equals its Riemannian length as computed with Otto's metric. In Section 4 we compute the Levi-Civita connection on $P^\infty(M)$. We use it to derive the equation for parallel transport and the geodesic equation.

In Section 5 we compute the Riemannian curvature of $P^\infty(M)$. The answer is relatively simple. As an application, if M has sectional curvatures bounded below by $r \in \mathbb{R}$, one can ask whether $P^\infty(M)$ necessarily has sectional curvatures bounded below by r . This turns out to be the case if and only if $r = 0$.

There has been recent interest in doing Hamiltonian mechanics on the Wasserstein space of a symplectic manifold [1, 4, 5]. In Section 6 we briefly describe the Poisson geometry

Date: March 31, 2007.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0604829.

of $P^\infty(M)$. We show that if M is a Poisson manifold then $P^\infty(M)$ has a natural Poisson structure. We also show that if M is symplectic then the symplectic leaves of the Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$ are the orbits of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, thereby making contact with [1, 5]. This approach is not really new; closely related results, with applications to PDEs, were obtained quite a while ago by Alan Weinstein and collaborators [10, 11, 22]. However, it may be worth advertising this viewpoint.

I thank Wilfrid Gangbo, Tommaso Pacini and Alan Weinstein for telling me of their work. I thank Cédric Villani for helpful discussions and the referee for helpful remarks.

2. MANIFOLDS OF MEASURES

In what follows, we use the Einstein summation convention freely.

Let M be a smooth connected closed Riemannian manifold of positive dimension. We denote the Riemannian density by dvol_M . Let $P_2(M)$ denote the space of Borel probability measures on M , equipped with the Wasserstein metric W_2 . For relevant results about optimal transport and the Wasserstein metric, we refer to [8, Sections 1 and 2] and references therein.

Put

$$(2.1) \quad P^\infty(M) = \{\rho \, \text{dvol}_M : \rho \in C^\infty(M), \rho > 0, \int_M \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 1\}.$$

Then $P^\infty(M)$ is a dense subset of $P_2(M)$, as is the complement of $P^\infty(M)$ in $P_2(M)$. We do not claim that $P^\infty(M)$ is necessarily a totally convex subset of $P_2(M)$, i.e. that if $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in P^\infty(M)$ then the minimizing geodesic in $P_2(M)$ joining them necessarily lies in $P^\infty(M)$. However, the absolutely continuous probability measures on M do form a totally convex subset of $P_2(M)$ [12]. For the purposes of this paper, we give $P^\infty(M)$ the smooth topology. (This differs from the subspace topology on $P^\infty(M)$ coming from its inclusion in $P_2(M)$.) Then $P^\infty(M)$ has the structure of an infinite-dimensional smooth manifold in the sense of [7]. The formal calculations in this paper can be rigorously justified as being calculations on the smooth manifold $P^\infty(M)$. However, we will not belabor this point.

Given $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, define $F_\phi \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$ by

$$(2.2) \quad F_\phi(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \int_M \phi \rho \, \text{dvol}_M.$$

This gives an injection $P^\infty(M) \rightarrow (C^\infty(M))^*$, i.e. the functions F_ϕ separate points in $P^\infty(M)$. We will think of the functions F_ϕ as “coordinates” on $P^\infty(M)$.

Given $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$, define a vector field V_ϕ on $P^\infty(M)$ by saying that for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$,

$$(2.3) \quad (V_\phi F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} F(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla^i(\rho \nabla_i \phi) \, \text{dvol}_M).$$

The map $\phi \rightarrow V_\phi$ passes to an isomorphism $C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_{\rho \, \text{dvol}_M} P^\infty(M)$. This parametrization of $T_{\rho \, \text{dvol}_M} P^\infty(M)$ goes back to Otto’s paper [16]; see [2] for further discussion. Otto’s

Riemannian metric on $P^\infty(M)$ is given [16] by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= \int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\ &= - \int_M \phi_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.3), we write $\delta_{V_\phi} \rho = -\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi)$. Then

$$(2.5) \quad \langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \int_M \phi_1 \delta_{V_{\phi_2}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M \phi_2 \delta_{V_{\phi_1}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M.$$

In terms of the weighted L^2 -spaces $L^2(M, \rho \, \text{dvol}_M)$ and $\Omega_{L^2}^1(M, \rho \, \text{dvol}_M)$, let d be the usual differential on functions and let d_ρ^* be its formal adjoint. Then (2.4) can be written as

$$(2.6) \quad \langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \int_M \langle d\phi_1, d\phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M \phi_1 d_\rho^* d\phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M.$$

We now relate the function F_ϕ and the vector field V_ϕ .

Lemma 2.7. *The gradient of F_ϕ is V_ϕ .*

Proof. Letting $\bar{\nabla} F_\phi$ denote the gradient of F_ϕ , for all $\phi' \in C^\infty(M)$ we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\nabla} F_\phi, V_{\phi'} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= (V_{\phi'} F_\phi) (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = - \int_M \phi \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi') \, \text{dvol}_M \\ &= \langle V_\phi, V_{\phi'} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

3. LENGTHS OF CURVES

In this section we relate the Riemannian metric (2.4) to the Wasserstein metric. One such relation was given in [17], where it was heuristically shown that the geodesic distance coming from (2.4) equals the Wasserstein metric. To give a rigorous relation, we recall that a curve $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow P_2(M)$ has a length given by

$$(3.1) \quad L(c) = \sup_{J \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{0=t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_J=1} \sum_{j=1}^J W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j)).$$

From the triangle inequality, the expression $\sum_{j=1}^J W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))$ is nondecreasing under a refinement of the partition $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_J = 1$.

If $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow P^\infty(M)$ is a smooth curve in $P^\infty(M)$ then we write $c(t) = \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M$ and let $\phi(t)$ satisfy $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi)$, where we normalize ϕ by requiring for example that $\int_M \phi \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$. If c is immersed then $\nabla \phi(t) \neq 0$. The Riemannian length of c , as computed using (2.4), is

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \int_0^1 \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi(t)|^2(m) \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

The next proposition says that this equals the length of c in the metric sense.

Proposition 3.3. *If $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow P^\infty(M)$ is a smooth immersed curve then its length $L(c)$ in the Wasserstein space $P_2(M)$ satisfies*

$$(3.4) \quad L(c) = \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

Proof. We can parametrize c so that $\int_M |\nabla \phi(t)|^2 \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M$ is a constant $C > 0$ with respect to t .

Let $\{S_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ be the one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of M given by

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\partial S_t(m)}{\partial t} = (\nabla \phi(t))(S_t(m))$$

with $S_0(m) = m$. Then $c(t) = (S_t)_*(\rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M)$.

Given a partition $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_J = 1$ of $[0, 1]$, a particular transference plan from $c(t_{j-1})$ to $c(t_j)$ comes from the Monge transport $S_{t_j} \circ S_{t_{j-1}}^{-1}$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))^2 &\leq \int_M d(m, S_{t_j}(S_{t_{j-1}}^{-1}(m)))^2 \rho(t_{j-1}) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= \int_M d(S_{t_{j-1}}(m), S_{t_j}(m))^2 \rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\leq \int_M \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |\nabla \phi(t)|(S_t(m)) \, dt \right)^2 \rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &\leq (t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_M \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} |\nabla \phi(t)|^2(S_t(m)) \, dt \rho(0) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= (t_j - t_{j-1}) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t)|^2(m) \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j)) &\leq (t_j - t_{j-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t)|^2(m) \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (t_j - t_{j-1}) \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi(t'_j)|^2(m) \rho(t'_j) \, d\text{vol}_M \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $t'_j \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$. It follows that

$$(3.8) \quad L(c) \leq \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

Next, from [8, Lemma A.1],

$$(3.9) \quad (t_j - t_{j-1}) \left| \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_j) \, d\text{vol}_M - \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_{j-1}) \, d\text{vol}_M \right|^2 \leq \\ W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))^2 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt,$$

where $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ is the Wasserstein geodesic between $c(t_{j-1})$ and $c(t_j)$. Now

$$(3.10) \quad \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_j) \, d\text{vol}_M - \int_M \phi(t_{j-1}) \rho(t_{j-1}) \, d\text{vol}_M = \\ - \int_M \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \phi(t_{j-1}) \nabla^i (\rho(t) \nabla_i \phi(t)) \, dt \, d\text{vol}_M = \\ \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt,$$

so (3.9) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad (t_j - t_{j-1}) \left(\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt \right)^2 \leq \\ W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j))^2 \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt.$$

Thus

$$(3.12) \quad L(c) \geq \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{\frac{\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt}{t_j - t_{j-1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \, d\mu_t \, dt}} (t_j - t_{j-1}).$$

As the partition of $[0, 1]$ becomes finer, the term $\frac{\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M \langle \nabla \phi(t_{j-1}), \nabla \phi(t) \rangle \rho(t) \, d\text{vol}_M \, dt}{t_j - t_{j-1}}$ uniformly approaches the constant C .

The Wasserstein geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ has the form $\mu_t = (F_t)_* \mu_{t_{j-1}}$ for measurable maps $F_t : M \rightarrow M$ with $F_{t_{j-1}} = \text{Id}$ [12]. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 d\mu_t dt - C \right| = \\
& \left| \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \left(\int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 d\mu_t - \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 d\mu_{t_{j-1}} \right) dt \right| = \\
& \left| \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M (|\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 \circ F_t - |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2) d\mu_{t_{j-1}} dt \right| \leq \\
& \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M d(m, F_t(m)) d\mu_{t_{j-1}}(m) dt \leq \\
& \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \sqrt{\int_M d(m, F_t(m))^2 d\mu_{t_{j-1}}(m)} dt = \\
& \frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} W_2(\mu_{t_{j-1}}, \mu_t) dt \leq \\
& \|\nabla |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2\|_\infty W_2(c(t_{j-1}), c(t_j)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now continuity of a 1-parameter family of smooth measures in the smooth topology implies continuity in the weak-* topology, which is metricized by W_2 (as M is compact). It follows that as the partition of $[0, 1]$ becomes finer, the term $\frac{1}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \int_M |\nabla \phi(t_{j-1})|^2 d\mu_t dt$ uniformly approaches the constant C . Thus from (3.12),

$$(3.14) \quad L(c) \geq \sqrt{C} = \int_0^1 \langle c'(t), c'(t) \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

This proves the proposition. $\square$

Remark 3.15. Let X be a finite-dimensional Alexandrov space and let R be its set of nonsingular points. There is a continuous Riemannian metric g on R so that lengths of curves in R can be computed using g [15]. (Note that in general, R and $X - R$ are dense in X .) This is somewhat similar to the situation for $P^\infty(M) \subset P_2(M)$.

In fact, there is an open dense subset $O \subset X$ with a Lipschitz manifold structure and a Riemannian metric of bounded variation that extends g [18]. We do not know if there is a Riemannian manifold structure, in some appropriate sense, on an open dense subset of $P_2(M)$. Other approaches to geometrizing $P_2(M)$, with a view toward gradient flow, are in [2, 3]; see also [14].

4. LEVI-CIVITA CONNECTION, PARALLEL TRANSPORT AND GEODESICS

In this section we compute the Levi-Civita connection of $P^\infty(M)$. We derive the formula for parallel transport in $P^\infty(M)$ and the geodesic equation for $P^\infty(M)$.

We first compute commutators of our canonical vector fields $\{V_\phi\}_{\phi \in C^\infty(M)}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Given $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, the commutator $[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]$ is given by*

$$(4.2) \quad ([V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla_i [\rho ((\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1 - (\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2)] \, \text{dvol}_M)$$

for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$.

Proof. We have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} ([V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= (V_{\phi_1}(V_{\phi_2}F))(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) - (V_{\phi_2}(V_{\phi_1}F))(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon_1} \Big|_{\epsilon_1=0} (V_{\phi_2}F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M) - \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon_2} \Big|_{\epsilon_2=0} (V_{\phi_1}F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon_2 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M) = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon_1} \Big|_{\epsilon_1=0} \frac{d}{d\epsilon_2} \Big|_{\epsilon_2=0} F((\rho - \epsilon_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1)) \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon_2 \nabla^j ((\rho - \epsilon_1 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1)) \nabla_j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M) - \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon_2} \Big|_{\epsilon_2=0} \frac{d}{d\epsilon_1} \Big|_{\epsilon_1=0} F((\rho - \epsilon_2 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2)) \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon_1 \nabla^j ((\rho - \epsilon_2 \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2)) \nabla_j \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M) = \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \epsilon \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M). \end{aligned}$$

One can check that

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2) - \nabla^j (\nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1) = -\nabla_i [\rho ((\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \nabla_j \phi_1 - (\nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_1) \nabla_j \phi_2)],$$

from which the lemma follows. $\square$

We now compute the Levi-Civita connection.

Proposition 4.5. *The Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of $P^\infty(M)$ is given by*

$$(4.6) \quad ((\bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2})F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M)$$

for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$.

Proof. Define a vector field $D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}$ by

$$(4.7) \quad ((D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2})F)(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F(\rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \epsilon \nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M)$$

for $F \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$. We also write

$$(4.8) \quad \delta_{D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}} \rho = -\nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2).$$

It is clear from Lemma 4.1 that

$$(4.9) \quad D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2} - D_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_1} = [V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}].$$

Next,

(4.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
(V_{\phi_1} \langle V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle) (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= - \int_M \nabla^i \phi_2 \nabla_i \phi_3 \nabla^j (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1) \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \nabla_i \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \int_M \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_i \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= - \int_M \phi_3 \nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2) \, \text{dvol}_M - \\
&\quad \int_M \phi_2 \nabla_i (\rho \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3) \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= \int_M \phi_3 \delta_{D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \int_M \phi_2 \delta_{D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}} \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
&= \langle D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) + \langle V_{\phi_2}, D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.11) \quad V_{\phi_1} \langle V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle = \langle D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle + \langle V_{\phi_2}, D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3} \rangle.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad 2 \langle \overline{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle &= V_{\phi_1} \langle V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle + V_{\phi_2} \langle V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_1} \rangle - V_{\phi_3} \langle V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2} \rangle + \\
&\quad \langle V_{\phi_3}, [V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}] \rangle - \langle V_{\phi_2}, [V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_3}] \rangle - \langle V_{\phi_1}, [V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3}] \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

substituting (4.9) and (4.11) into the right-hand side of (4.12) shows that

$$(4.13) \quad \langle \overline{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle = \langle D_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle$$

for all $\phi_3 \in C^\infty(M)$. The proposition follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.14. *The connection coefficients at $\rho \, \text{dvol}_M$ are given by*

$$(4.15) \quad \langle \overline{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2}, V_{\phi_3} \rangle = \int_M \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M.$$

Proof. This follows from (2.5) and (4.6). $\square$

Let G_ρ be the Green's operator for $d_\rho^* d$ on $L^2(M, \rho \, \text{dvol}_M)$. (More explicitly, if $\int_M f \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$ and $\phi = G_\rho f$ then ϕ satisfies $-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^i (\rho \nabla_i \phi) = f$ and $\int_M \phi \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$, while $G_\rho 1 = 0$.) Let Π_ρ denote orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\text{Im}(d)} \subset \Omega_{L^2}^1(M, \rho \, \text{dvol}_M)$.

Lemma 4.16. *At $\rho \, \text{dvol}_M$, we have $\overline{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2} = V_\phi$, where $\phi = G_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i)$.*

Proof. Given $\phi_3 \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad \langle V_{\phi_3}, V_\phi \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M) &= \int_M \langle d\phi_3, dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i) \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &= \int_M \langle d\phi_3, \Pi_\rho (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i) \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M \\
 &= \int_M \langle d\phi_3, \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \langle V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_2} \rangle (\rho \, \text{dvol}_M).
 \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. $\square$

To derive the equation for parallel transport, let $c : (a, b) \rightarrow P^\infty(M)$ be a smooth curve. As before, we write $c(t) = \rho(t) \, \text{dvol}_M$ and define $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(M)$, up to a constant, by $\frac{dc}{dt} = V_{\phi(t)}$. Let $V_{\eta(t)}$ be a vector field along c , with $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(M)$. If $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^\infty$ is a basis for $C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R}$ then $\{V_{\phi_\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^\infty$ is a global basis for $TP^\infty(M)$ and we can write $\eta(t) = \sum_\alpha \eta_\alpha(t) V_{\phi_\alpha} \Big|_{c(t)}$. The condition for V_η to be parallel along c is

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_\alpha \frac{d\eta_\alpha}{dt} V_{\phi_\alpha} \Big|_{c(t)} + \sum_\alpha \eta_\alpha(t) \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi(t)}} V_{\eta_\alpha} \Big|_{c(t)} = 0,$$

or

$$(4.19) \quad V_{\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}} + \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi(t)}} V_{\eta(t)} = 0.$$

Proposition 4.20. *The equation for V_η to be parallel along c is*

$$(4.21) \quad \nabla_i \left(\rho \left(\nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \nabla_j \phi \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta \right) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. This follows from (2.3), (4.6) and (4.19). $\square$

As a check on equation (4.21), we show that parallel transport along c preserves the inner product.

Lemma 4.22. *If V_{η_1} and V_{η_2} are parallel vector fields along c then $\int_M \langle \nabla \eta_1, \nabla \eta_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M$ is constant in t .*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle \nabla \eta_1, \nabla \eta_2 \rangle \rho \, d\text{vol}_M &= \int_M \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial t} \nabla_i \eta_2 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \int_M \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial t} \rho \, d\text{vol}_M - \\
&\quad \int_M \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \eta_2 \nabla^j (\rho \nabla_j \phi) \, d\text{vol}_M \\
&= \int_M \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial t} \nabla_i \eta_2 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \int_M \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial t} \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \\
&\quad \int_M (\nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_1 \nabla_i \eta_2 + \nabla_i \eta_1 \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_2) \nabla_j \phi \rho \, d\text{vol}_M \\
&= - \int_M \eta_2 \nabla_i \left(\rho \left(\nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial t} + \nabla_j \phi \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_1 \right) \right) d\text{vol}_M - \\
&\quad \int_M \eta_1 \nabla_i \left(\rho \left(\nabla^i \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial t} + \nabla_j \phi \nabla^i \nabla^j \eta_2 \right) \right) d\text{vol}_M \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

Finally, we derive the geodesic equation.

Proposition 4.24. *The geodesic equation for c is*

$$(4.25) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 = 0,$$

modulo the addition of a spatially-constant function to ϕ .

Proof. Taking $\eta = \phi$ in (4.21) gives

$$(4.26) \quad \nabla_i \left(\rho \nabla^i \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right) \right) = 0.$$

Thus $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2$ is spatially constant. Redefining ϕ by adding to it a function of t alone, we can assume that (4.25) holds. $\square$

Remark 4.27. Equation (4.25) has been known for a while, at least in the case of $\mathbb{R}^n$, to be the formal equation for Wasserstein geodesics. For general Riemannian manifolds M , it was formally derived as the Wasserstein geodesic equation in [17] by minimizing lengths of curves. For $t > 0$, it has the Hopf-Lax solution

$$(4.28) \quad \phi(t, m) = \inf_{m' \in M} \left(\phi(0, m') + \frac{d(m, m')^2}{2t} \right).$$

Given $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in P^\infty(M)$, it is known that there is a unique minimizing Wasserstein geodesic $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ joining them. It is of the form $\mu_t = (F_t)_* \mu_0$, where $F_t \in \text{Diff}(M)$ is given by $F_t(m) = \exp_m(-t \nabla_m \phi_0)$ for an appropriate Lipschitz function ϕ_0 [12]. If ϕ_0 happens to be smooth then defining $\rho(t)$ by $\mu_t = \rho(t) d\text{vol}_M$ and defining $\phi(t) \in C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R}$

as above, it is known that ϕ satisfies (4.25), with $\phi(0) = \phi_0$ [21, Section 5.4.7]. In this way, (4.25) rigorously describes certain geodesics in the Wasserstein space $P_2(M)$.

5. CURVATURE

In this section we compute the Riemannian curvature tensor of $P^\infty(M)$.

Given $\phi, \phi' \in C^\infty(M)$, define $T_{\phi\phi'} \in \Omega_{L^2}^1(M)$ by

$$(5.1) \quad T_{\phi\phi'} = (I - \Pi_\rho) (\nabla^i \phi \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi' dx^j).$$

(The left-hand side depends on ρ , but we suppress this for simplicity of notation.)

Lemma 5.2. $T_{\phi\phi'} + T_{\phi'\phi} = 0$.

Proof. As

$$(5.3) \quad \nabla^i \phi \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi' dx^j + \nabla^i \phi' \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi dx^j = d\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi' \rangle,$$

and $I - \Pi_\rho$ projects away from $\text{Im}(d)$, the lemma follows. $\square$

Theorem 5.4. *Given $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4 \in C^\infty(M)$, the Riemannian curvature operator $\bar{R}$ of $P^\infty(M)$ is given by*

$$(5.5) \quad \langle \bar{R}(V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}) V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle = \int_M \langle R(\nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2) \nabla \phi_3, \nabla \phi_4 \rangle \rho \, d\text{vol}_M - 2\langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_2}, T_{\phi_3 \phi_4} \rangle + \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_1 \phi_4} \rangle - \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_2 \phi_4} \rangle,$$

where both sides are evaluated at $\rho \, d\text{vol}_M \in P^\infty(M)$.

Proof. We use the formula

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \bar{R}(V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}) V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= V_{\phi_1} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle - \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle - \\ &\quad V_{\phi_2} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle - \\ &\quad \langle \bar{\nabla}_{[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

First, from (2.3) and (4.14),

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{\phi_1} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= - \int_M \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla^k (\rho \nabla_k \phi_1) \, d\text{vol}_M \\ &= \int_M \nabla^k \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_1 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \\ &\quad \int_M \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla^k \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_1 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + \\ &\quad \int_M \nabla_i \phi_2 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^k \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_1 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} V_{\phi_2} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \int_M \nabla^k \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \\ &\quad \int_M \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla^k \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \\ &\quad \int_M \nabla_i \phi_1 \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^k \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \nabla_k \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using (2.4), Lemma 4.16 and (5.1),

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \langle dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_k \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \, dx^k) \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle \Pi_\rho (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), \Pi_\rho (\nabla_k \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \, dx^k) \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i, \nabla_k \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \, dx^k \rangle_{L^2} - \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_1 \phi_4} \rangle \\ &= \int_M \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_2 \nabla^i \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_1 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_1 \phi_4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(5.10) \quad \langle \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_1}} V_{\phi_3}, \bar{\nabla}_{V_{\phi_2}} V_{\phi_4} \rangle = \int_M \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \nabla^j \phi_1 \nabla^i \nabla_l \phi_4 \nabla^l \phi_2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_3}, T_{\phi_2 \phi_4} \rangle.$$

Finally, we compute $\langle \bar{\nabla}_{[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle$. From (4.2), we can write $[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}] = V_\phi$, where

$$(5.11) \quad \phi = G_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i).$$

Then from (4.15),

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{[V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}]} V_{\phi_3}, V_{\phi_4} \rangle &= \int_M \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^j \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \langle d\phi, \nabla^j \phi_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \, dx^i \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle dG_\rho d_\rho^* (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), \nabla^j \phi_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \, dx^i \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \langle \Pi_\rho (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 \, dx^i - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2 \, dx^i), \Pi_\rho (\nabla^j \phi_4 \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_3 \, dx^i) \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \int_M (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2) \nabla_k \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^k \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M - \\ &\quad \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_2}, T_{\phi_4 \phi_3} \rangle + \langle T_{\phi_2 \phi_1}, T_{\phi_4 \phi_3} \rangle \\ &= \int_M (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_2 \nabla^j \phi_1 - \nabla_i \nabla_j \phi_1 \nabla^j \phi_2) \nabla_k \phi_4 \nabla^i \nabla^k \phi_3 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M + \\ &\quad 2 \langle T_{\phi_1 \phi_2}, T_{\phi_3 \phi_4} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows from combining equations (5.6)-(5.12). $\square$

Corollary 5.13. *Suppose that $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfy $\int_M |\nabla \phi_1|^2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = \int_M |\nabla \phi_2|^2 \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 1$ and $\int_M \langle \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_2 \rangle \rho \, \text{dvol}_M = 0$. Then the sectional curvature at $\rho \, \text{dvol}_M \in P^\infty(M)$ of*

the 2-plane spanned by V_{ϕ_1} and V_{ϕ_2} is
(5.14)

$$\overline{K}(V_{\phi_1}, V_{\phi_2}) = \int_M K(\nabla\phi_1, \nabla\phi_2) (|\nabla\phi_1|^2 |\nabla\phi_2|^2 - \langle \nabla\phi_1, \nabla\phi_2 \rangle^2) \rho \, d\text{vol}_M + 3 |T_{\phi_1\phi_2}|^2,$$

where $K(\nabla\phi_1, \nabla\phi_2)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane spanned by $\nabla\phi_1$ and $\nabla\phi_2$.

Corollary 5.15. *If M has nonnegative sectional curvature then $P^\infty(M)$ has nonnegative sectional curvature.*

Remark 5.16. One can ask whether the condition of M having sectional curvature bounded below by $r \in \mathbb{R}$ implies that $P^\infty(M)$ has sectional curvature bounded below by r . This is not the case unless $r = 0$. The reason is one of normalizations. The normalizations on ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are $\int_M |\nabla\phi_1|^2 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M = \int_M |\nabla\phi_2|^2 \rho \, d\text{vol}_M = 1$ and $\int_M \langle \nabla\phi_1, \nabla\phi_2 \rangle \rho \, d\text{vol}_M = 0$. One cannot conclude from this that $\int_M (|\nabla\phi_1|^2 |\nabla\phi_2|^2 - \langle \nabla\phi_1, \nabla\phi_2 \rangle^2) \rho \, d\text{vol}_M$ is ≥ 1 or ≤ 1 .

More generally, if M has nonnegative sectional curvature then $P_2(M)$ is an Alexandrov space with nonnegative curvature [8, Theorem A.8], [19, Proposition 2.10(iv)]. On the other hand, if M does not have nonnegative sectional curvature then one sees by an explicit construction that $P_2(M)$ is not an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below [19, Proposition 2.10(iv)].

Remark 5.17. The formula (5.5) has the structure of the O'Neill formula for the sectional curvature of the base space of a Riemannian submersion. In the case $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, Otto argued that $P^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is formally the quotient space of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with an L^2 -metric, by the subgroup that preserves a fixed volume form [16]. As $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is formally flat, it followed that $P^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ formally had nonnegative sectional curvature.

6. POISSON STRUCTURE

Let M be a smooth connected closed manifold. We do not give it a Riemannian metric. In this section we describe a natural Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$ arising from a Poisson structure on M . If M is a symplectic manifold then we show that the symplectic leaves in $P^\infty(M)$ are orbits of the action of the group $\text{Ham}(M)$ of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms acting on $P^\infty(M)$. We recover the symplectic structure on the orbits that was considered in [1, 5].

Let M be a smooth manifold and let $p \in C^\infty(\wedge^2 TM)$ be a skew bivector field. Given $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, one defines the Poisson bracket $\{f_1, f_2\} \in C^\infty(M)$ by $\{f_1, f_2\} = p(df_1 \otimes df_2)$. There is a skew trivector field $\partial p \in C^\infty(\wedge^3 TM)$ so that for $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$(6.1) \quad (\partial p)(df_1, df_2, df_3) = \{\{f_1, f_2\}, f_3\} + \{\{f_2, f_3\}, f_1\} + \{\{f_3, f_1\}, f_2\}.$$

One says that p defines a Poisson structure on M if $\partial p = 0$. We assume hereafter that p is a Poisson structure on M .

Definition 6.2. Define a skew bivector field $P \in C^\infty(\wedge^2 TP^\infty(M))$ by saying that its Poisson bracket is $\{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\} = F_{\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}}$, i.e.

$$(6.3) \quad \{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\}(\mu) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu$$

for $\mu \in P^\infty(M)$.

The map $\phi \rightarrow dF_\phi|_\mu$ passes to an isomorphism $C^\infty(M)/\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_\mu^*P^\infty(M)$. As the right-hand side of (6.3) vanishes if ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 is constant, equation (6.3) does define an element of $C^\infty(\wedge^2 TP^\infty(M))$.

Proposition 6.4. P is a Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that ∂P vanishes. This follows from the equation

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial P)(dF_{\phi_1}, dF_{\phi_2}, dF_{\phi_3}) &= \{\{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\}, F_{\phi_3}\} + \{\{F_{\phi_2}, F_{\phi_3}\}, F_{\phi_1}\} + \{\{F_{\phi_3}, F_{\phi_1}\}, F_{\phi_2}\} \\ &= F_{\{\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}, \phi_3\} + \{\{\phi_2, \phi_3\}, \phi_1\} + \{\{\phi_3, \phi_1\}, \phi_2\}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

A finite-dimensional Poisson manifold has a (possibly singular) foliation with symplectic leaves [6]. The leafwise tangent vector fields are spanned by the vector fields W_f defined by $W_f h = \{f, h\}$. The symplectic form Ω on a leaf is given by saying that $\Omega(W_f, W_g) = \{f, g\}$.

Suppose now that (M, ω) is a closed $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold. Let $\text{Ham}(M)$ be the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of M [13, Chapter 3.1].

Proposition 6.6. The symplectic leaves of $P^\infty(M)$ are the orbits of the action of $\text{Ham}(M)$ on $P^\infty(M)$. Given $\mu \in P^\infty(M)$ and $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$, let $\hat{H}_{\phi_1}, \hat{H}_{\phi_2} \in T_\mu P^\infty(M)$ be the infinitesimal motions of μ under the flows generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields H_{ϕ_1}, H_{ϕ_2} on M . Then $\Omega(\hat{H}_{\phi_1}, \hat{H}_{\phi_2}) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu$.

Proof. Write $\mu = \rho \omega^n$. We claim that $(W_{F_\phi} \hat{F})(\mu) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \hat{F}(\mu - \epsilon \{\phi, \rho\} \omega^n)$ for $\hat{F} \in C^\infty(P^\infty(M))$. To show this, it is enough to check it for each $\hat{F} = F_{\phi'}$, with $\phi' \in C^\infty(M)$. But

$$(6.7) \quad (W_{F_\phi} F_{\phi'}) (\mu) = F_{\{\phi, \phi'\}}(\mu) = \int_M \{\phi, \phi'\} \rho \omega^n = - \int_M \phi' \{\phi, \rho\} \omega^n,$$

from which the claim follows. This shows that $W_{F_\phi} = \hat{H}_\phi$.

Next, at $\mu \in P^\infty(M)$ we have

$$(6.8) \quad \Omega(\hat{H}_{\phi_1}, \hat{H}_{\phi_2}) = \Omega(W_{F_{\phi_1}}, W_{F_{\phi_2}}) = \{F_{\phi_1}, F_{\phi_2}\}(\mu) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu.$$

This proves the proposition. □

Remark 6.9. As a check on Proposition 6.6, suppose that $\phi_2 \in C^\infty(M)$ is such that $\widehat{H}_{\phi_2}$ vanishes at $\mu = \rho \omega^n$. Then $\{\phi_2, \rho\} = 0$, so by our formula we have

$$(6.10) \quad \Omega(\widehat{H}_{\phi_1}, \widehat{H}_{\phi_2}) = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} d\mu = \int_M \{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \rho \omega^n = \int_M \phi_1 \{\phi_2, \rho\} \omega^n = 0.$$

Remark 6.11. The Poisson structure on $P^\infty(M)$ is the restriction of the Poisson structure on $(C^\infty(M))^*$ considered in [10, 11, 22]. Here the Poisson structure on $(C^\infty(M))^*$ comes from the general construction of a Poisson structure on the dual of a Lie algebra, considering $C^\infty(M)$ to be a Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(M)$. The cited papers use the Poisson structure on $(C^\infty(M))^*$ to show that certain PDE's are Hamiltonian flows.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio and W. Gangbo, "Hamiltonian ODE's in the Wasserstein space of probability measures", to appear, Comm. Pure Applied Math., <http://www.math.gatech.edu/~gangbo/publications/>
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savaré, Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, Basel (2005)
- [3] J.A. Carrillo, R.J. McCann and C. Villani, "Contractions in the 2-Wasserstein length space and thermalization of granular media", Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 179, p. 217-263 (2006)
- [4] W. Gangbo, T. Nguyen and A. Tudorascu, "Euler-Poisson systems as action-minimizing paths in the Wasserstein space", preprint (2006)
- [5] W. Gangbo and T. Pacini, "Infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems in terms of the Wasserstein distance", work in progress
- [6] A. Kirillov, "Local Lie algebras", Uspehi Mat. Nauk 31, p. 57-76 (1976)
- [7] A. Kriegl and P. Michor, The convenient setting of global analysis, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1997)
- [8] J. Lott and C. Villani, "Ricci curvature for metric-measure space via optimal transport", to appear, Ann. of Math., <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math.DG/0412127>
- [9] J. Lott and C. Villani, "Weak curvature conditions and functional inequalities", J. of Funct. Anal. 245, p. 311-333 (2007)
- [10] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein, "The Hamiltonian structure of the Maxwell-Vlasov equations", Phys. D 4, p. 394-406 (1982)
- [11] J. Marsden, T. Ratiu, R. Schmid, R. Spencer and A. Weinstein, "Hamiltonian systems with symmetry, coadjoint orbits and plasma physics", in Proceedings of the IUTAM-ISIMM symposium on modern developments in analytical mechanics, Vol. I (Torino, 1982), Atti Accad. Sci. Torino Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 117, suppl. 1, p. 289-340 (1983)
- [12] R. McCann, "Polar factorization of maps on Riemannian manifolds", Geom. Funct. Anal. 11, p. 589-608 (2001)
- [13] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, Introduction to symplectic topology, second edition, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York (1998)
- [14] S. Ohta, "Gradient flows on Wasserstein spaces over compact Alexandrov spaces", preprint, <http://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~sohta/> (2006)
- [15] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, "The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces", J. Diff. Geom. 39, p. 629-658 (1994)
- [16] F. Otto, "The geometry of dissipative evolution equations: the porous medium equation", Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26, p. 101-174 (2001)

- [17] F. Otto and C. Villani, “Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality”, J. Funct. Anal. 173, p. 361-400 (2000).
- [18] G. Perelman, “DC structure on Alexandrov space”, unpublished preprint
- [19] K.-T. Sturm, “On the geometry of metric measure spaces I ”, Acta Math. 196, p. 65-131 (2006)
- [20] K.-T. Sturm, “On the geometry of metric measure spaces II ”, Acta Math. 196, p. 133-177 (2006)
- [21] C. Villani, Topics in Optimal Transportation, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 58, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2003)
- [22] A. Weinstein, “Hamiltonian structure for drift waves and geostrophic flow”, Phys. Fluids 26, p. 388–390 (1983)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1109, USA
E-mail address: lott@umich.edu